

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20241 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,125	12/29/2000	Mitsuhiro Kanada	Q62454	6746
75	590 01/31/2003			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAMINER	
	Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. ngton, DC 20037-3213		CHANG, VICTOR S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	
		DATE MAILED: 01/31/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			95
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/750,125	KANADA ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Victor S Chang	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 17 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this ap	pplication. A proper repl which places the applica	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing dat			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (2)	later than SIX MONTHS from the r S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS of e date on which the petition under 3 of extension and the corresponding the shortened statutory period for ce later than three months after the	mailing date of the final reject OF THE FINAL REJECTION. BY CFR 1.136(a) and the appr g amount of the fee. The apprepriety originally set in the final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or sear	ch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by r	materially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number	of finally rejected claim	s.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely filed	amendment
5. ∑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	reconsideration has been o <u>e attached NOTE</u> .	onsidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLE	ELY to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10, 12-16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) dis	sapproved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	PRIMARY	LZIPK" "EXAMIGE Y 1P 1880 Yanku 1700	l_ik

Application/Control Number: 09/750,125

Art Unit: 1771

NOTE

It is noted that the newly amended claim 1 now recites a mixture of thermoplastic elastomer and a thermoplastic polymer, and Applicants argues that a polyolefin is a thermoplastic elastomer (Response, page 4, 3rd paragraph), and as such WO '573 does not read on Applicants' newly amended claim 1. Further, Applicants assert that the microporous material according to the instant invention has unexpected high soundproofing properties and excellent flexibility, but such is not believed to have been proven by suitable evidence. Also, the Examiner disagrees with Applicants' argument that a polyolefin is a thermoplastic elastomer, and reiterates that a polyolefin, such as polyethylene or polypropylene, is inherently a non-elastomeric semi-crystalline thermoplastic polymer (see section 4 of Paper No. 5). Finally, if further prosecution, i.e., a CPA or RCE, is contemplated, the Examiner would like to strongly urge Applicants provide a suitable Declaration(s) which focuses both on the level of the skill of the art and also on the "unexpected high soundproofing properties and excellent flexibility". Declaration should be made by a relatively disinterested person such as, e.g., a customer.